Critería (from RFQ Advertisement)	Importance (points)	25/125/80 J. 11008	1246 20 3/6/2/14	The Man Stall 18	250M	
		Alderman May	Alderman May=M, Gish=G, Cole=G & Meyer=JM	=G & Meyer=JM		
Specialized experience and technical competence	0-20	M G	M G	D N		
B) Capacity and capability of the firm to perform work within time limitations	0-10	M G C JM	M G	M G C JM		
C) Past record of performance – control of costs, quality of work, ability to meet schedules	0-10	M G	M G	M G		
D) Firm's proximity to and familiarity with area	0-5	M G	M G	M G		
E) Firm's professional experience in evaluating and designing wastewater systems	0-15	M G	M G	M G	; 60	
Totals			0	0	ē	

Example Questions to Consider During Ranking Review and/or Interviews

Did the firm follow instructions for the submittal? (Page number, summary, letter of introduction, etc.)

Did the firm provide the information requested for each category?

Did the firm provide the project manager's name and resume? Does this person have experience relative to your project?

Did the firm identify and clearly outline their capacity for the work and their capability. Capacity is about staffing levels and current projects, Capability is the training and experience of their staff Did the firm discuss the ability to perform the work in a timely manner? Can they meet the City's deadlines?

What is the firm's experience relative to cost control, quality of work (design, constructability, estimation of project costs), are projects designed and constructed in a reasonable time period?

Where are the engineers located? Is it close enough to avoid long drivetime or a tendency to conduct work remotely?

What is the firm's experience in designing the type of project the City has in mind, or upgrading what you have now? What is the firm's procedure for quality assurance and quality control?

What is the firm's experience with change orders?

What is the firm's project team's length of service? How long have they worked for the firm?

Example References Checks

All references should be asked the same questions for each firm being researched.

Check references for both the company and the project manager. The PM's resume should have similar projects listed - call them Is the project manager involved with day to day project work, or are they more of a traffic controller that checks in periodically?

Were the reference's expectations met regarding cost and completion date?

Was there turnover of engineering staff during your project? Was it handled well?

If the reference was for a study, was the project constructed?

What is the best thing that you can say about the firm?

What is the firm's communication style?

Signature

46

EXHIBIT _, continued

(Complete the following if you DO NOT have the E-Verify documentation and a current Affidavit of Work Authorization already on file with the State of Missouri. If completing Box B, do not complete Box C.)

	BOX B – CURRENT B	USINESS ENTITY STATUS
I certify the defined in	hat City of Otterville (Business Entiresection 285.525, RSMo, pertaining to section	ty Name) MEETS the definition of a business entity as ion 285.530.
Deb	orah Lake	
	norized Business Entity Representative's e (Please Print)	Authorized Business Entity Representative's Signature
City	of Otterville	6/24/2022
Busi	ness Entity Name	Date
dlake	e@ottervillemo.gov	2
	ail Address	5
	ness entity, the bidder/contractor must perforeck each to verify completion/submission of	rm/provide each of the following. The bidder/contractor fall of the following:
☑	http://www.uscis.gov/e-verify; Phone: 888	eral work authorization program (Website: 8-464-4218; Email: e- verify@dhs.gov) with respect the program who are proposed to work in connection
Ø	Verify federal work authorization program Employment Eligibility Verification page OR a page from the E-Verify Memorandu bidder's/contractor's name and the MOU bidder/contractor and the Department of I	signature page completed and signed, at minimum, by the Homeland Security – Verification Division. If the 's/contractor's name and company ID, then no additional
Ø	Submit a completed, notarized Affidavit of Exhibit.	f Work Authorization provided on the next page of this

EXHIBIT _,continued

AFFIDAVIT OF WORK AUTHORIZATION:

return the following Affidavit of Work Author		on of a business	entity must complete and
Comes now Mayor (Position/Title) first being du (Position/Titl	oate in the E-Verify federal rogram who are proposed ri for the duration of the affirm that City of Otterville In who is an unauthorized	rm City of Ottervil al work authoriza I to work in con contract(s), if aw(Bu d alien in connect	(Business Éntity tion program with respect nection with the services arded in accordance with usiness Entity Name) does
In Affirmation thereof, the facts stated above statements made in this filing are subject to the			
	Deborah Lake	&	
Authorized Representative's Signature	Printed Name	\$	
Mayor	6/24/2022		dinygoutus espessional et e 48ff. Vigorofia e obsessional pro
Mayor	0/24/2022		
Title	Date		
		st results	San Sen i B
Title		O Number	Conclusion of the Conclusion o
Title dlake@ottervillemo.gov E-Mail Address Subscribed and sworn to before me this	E-Verify Company II	. I am	Constitutes in the light control of the light contr
Title dlake@ottervillemo.gov	E-Verify Company II	. I am	Control of The Contro
Title dlake@ottervillemo.gov E-Mail Address Subscribed and sworn to before me this commissioned as a notary public within the Co	Date E-Verify Company II Of (MO) (MO) (NAME OF COU	. I am	Constitute of the Constitute o
Title dlake@ottervillemo.gov E-Mail Address Subscribed and sworn to before me this commissioned as a notary public within the Co , and my commiss	Date E-Verify Company II Of (MO) (MO) (NAME OF COU	NTH, YEAR). I am	Constituted the Constitution of the Constituti

City of Otterville	Otterville CWERG
Applicant	Project Name
EPG - 260 - 22	188113513
SRF Project Number	DUNs Number

Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension

The prospective participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief that it and its principals:

- Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency (Status can be checked on the System for Award Management (SAM) located on the Internet at https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/);
- b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (federal, state, or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of federal or state antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
- Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a government entity (federal, state, or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and
- d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (federal, state, or local) terminated for cause or default.

I understand that a false statement on this certification may be grounds for rejection of this proposal or termination of the award.

Deborah Lake, Mayor	
Typed Name & Title of Authorized Representative	
	6/24/2022
Signature of Authorized Representative	Date
	*
I am unable to certify to the above statements. My	explanation is attached.

Instructions

The Clean Water State Revolving Fund and the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) program receives assistance from the federal government and the funds provided to a community constitute a subagreement. Accordingly, each prospective recipient of an SRF grant, loan, or cooperative agreement and any contractor or subcontractor must agree to fully comply with Executive Order 12549, 2 CFR Part 180, and 2 CFR Part 1532 regarding Debarment and Suspension.

The prospective SRF grant, Ioan, or cooperative agreement recipient should return the signed certification or explanation with its application to:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources Water Protection Program Financial Assistance Center PO Box 176 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176

The recipient of SRF funding should also obtain a certification from their consulting engineer and prime contractor. The funding recipient shall also check the status on the System for Award Management (SAM) located on the Internet at https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/.

Each prospective subcontractor should submit a completed certification or explanation to the prime contractor for the project.



United States ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, DC 20460

OMB Control No. 2030-0020 Approval expires 04/30/2021

EPA Project Control Number

CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

CERTIFICATION FOR CONTRACTS, GRANTS, LOANS AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all sub-awards at all tiers (including sub-contracts, sub-grants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all sub-recipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31 U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Deborah Lake, Mayor
Typed Name & Title of Authorized Representative
Signature and Date of Authorized Representative

The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response. Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the Director, Collection Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2822T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed form to this address.

Procurement of Architectural and Engineering Services with DBE Certification Form

Project Name:	Otterville CWERG	
FAC Project No.:	EPG - 260- 22	•
as counties, municipali		s that political subdivisions of the State, such procuring professional design and tion process.
recipients must have ar tribal laws and regulati required under a Federa	nd use documented procurement procurement procured and the standards of this sect all award or sub-award. The non-f	t Standards) requires that federal funding procedures, consistent with State, local, and tion, for the acquisition of property or service federal entity's documented procurement identified in §200.317 through 200.327.
seek and encourage bid small businesses with a	ls from Disadvantaged Business I	Enterprises (DBEs). DBEs are for-profit socially and economically disadvantaged siness operations.
seeking financial assist	ance to use this form in documen	sial Assistance Center intends applicants nting that proper procurement of professional to solicit DBEs for their project has occurred
Applicants are required	I to certify all of the following:	
a Request for Q days for the sol	Qualifications (RFQ) from 3-5 firm licitation period. Check your locators/membership/acecmo-directory	fied firm for professional services, by seeking ms (see attached example). Allow at least 30 all phone directory, search the internet, or visit y/to find engineering firms.
	ast performance, specialized expe	lected a firm based on professional erience, and other factors deemed critical for
Followed the D	BE six good faith efforts for proc	curement of engineering services.
	ented procurement procedure const the standards identified in 2 C.F.	sistent with State, local, and tribal laws and A.R. §200.317 through 200.327.
Deborah Lake	e, Mayor	
Name and Title of Auth	norized Representative (Please Pr	rint or Type)
		6/24/22
Signature of Authorized	d Representative	Date

40

- "Lead Status Unknown" where the service line material is not known to be lead, galvanized requiring replacement, or a non-lead service line, such as where there is no documented evidence supporting material classification. The water system may classify the line as "Unknown" as an alternative to classifying it as "Lead Status Unknown", however, all requirements that apply to "Lead Status Unknown" service lines must also apply to those classified as "Unknown". Water systems may elect to provide more information regarding their unknown lines as long as the inventory clearly distinguishes unknown service lines from those where the material has been verified through records or inspection.
- Water systems must update the inventory based on all applicable sources described in
 these requirements and any lead service line replacements or service line material
 inspections that may have been conducted. The water system may use other sources of
 information if approved by the state. Water systems must submit the updated inventory to
 the state. The inventory updates must be reflected in the publicly accessible inventory
 when submitted to the state.
 - O Water systems whose inventories contain only non-lead service lines (both the public water systems and private) are not required to provide inventory updates to the state or to the public. However, if in the future, such a water system finds "lead" within its system, it must prepare an updated inventory on a schedule established by the state.



AFFORDABILITY AND FINANCIAL CAPABILITY	
Total population of project area	
Population 3,300 or less	15 points
Population of 3,301 to 7,500	10 points
Population of 7,501 to 20,000	5 points
Population > 20,000	0 points
Comparison of project area unemployment rate with state average	
Unemployment Rate > 5.6%	10 points
Unemployment Rate > 4.6% and ≤ 5.6%	5 points
Unemployment Rate ≤ 4.6%	0 points
Comparison of project area percent households in poverty with state	e average
Households in Poverty > 18.7%	10 points
Households in Poverty > 13.7% and ≤ 18.7%	5 points a
Households in Poverty ≤ 13.7%	0 points
Percent of project area population with Low- and Moderate-Income	(LMI)
LMI at or above 51%	10 points
LMI below 51%	0 points
Comparison of project area Median Household Income (MHI) with st	ate average
MHI at or below \$42,108.75	10 points
MHI above \$42,108.75	0 points

Qualified Census Tract contains 50% or more of population served by the project	, P* ,
Yes, 50% or more of the population served is within a Qualified Census Tract (QCT)	10 points
No, less than 50% of the population served is in a Qualified Census Tract (QCT)	0 points
System's outstanding debt	(BHI)
Yes, the applicant's system has outstanding debt for the water system	5 points
No, the applicant's water system has no outstanding debt	0 points
Applicant's local share	red (
Local Share is > 20%	5 points
Local Share is > 10% and ≤ 20%	3 points
Local Share is > 1% and ≤ 10%	2 points
Local Share is \$1 up to > 1%	0 points
PROJECT NEED	
Applicant has service lines that have not been replaced since 1989	Lister will
Yes, applicant has service lines that pre-date 1989	5 points
No, applicant does not have lines that pre-date 1989	0 points
Applicant has a system in place for addressing lead service lines	414
Yes, applicant has a system in place	5 points
No, applicant does not have a system in place	0 points
Applicant has had Action Level/Trigger Level Exceedances for Lead over the last 5 y	/ears
Yes, applicant has had exceedances over last 5 years	5 points
No, applicant has not had exceedances over last 5 years	0 points

Ol on

40

- Development of watershed projects;
- Planning activities, such as energy audits and optimization studies;
- Planning measures related to security, excluding vulnerability assessments and contingency/emergency response plans that are part of the project development process for a construction project;
- Individual grants for work on one private septic system including the installation, repair, or replacement of unit; and
- Clean water development and implementation of incentive and educational programs that address and promote water conservation, source water protection, and efficiency related to infrastructure improvements.



Wastewater Scoring Criteria

AFFORDABILITY AND FINANCIAL CAPABILITY	restriction from the
Total population of project area	
Population 3,300 or less	15 points
Population of 3,301 to 7,500	10 points
Population of 7,501 to 20,000	5 points
Population > 20,000	0 points
Comparison of project area unemployment rate with state average	MIN TWO LONGS TO SE
Unemployment Rate > 5.6%	10 points
Unemployment Rate > 4.6% and ≤ 5.6%	5 points
Unemployment Rate ≤ 4.6%	0 points
Comparison of project area percent of households in poverty with state average	ine a realist gard
Households in Poverty > 18.7%	10 points
Households in Poverty > 13.7% and ≤ 18.7%	5 points
Households in Poverty ≤ 13.7%	0 points
Percent of project area population with Low- and Moderate-Income (LMI)	
LMI at or above 51%	10 points
LMI below 51%	(0 points)
Comparison of project area Median Household Income (MHI) with state average	
MHI at or below \$42,108.75	(10 points)
MHI above \$42,108.75	0 points
Current User Rates in project area as percentage of MHI	•
User Rates ≥ 2% MHI If rates are above \$54	(15 points)
User Rates 1.5% to < 2% If rates are above \$40.50	10 points
Jser Rates 1% to < 1.5%	5 points
Jser Rates < 1% MHI	0 points
Disadvantaged (MHI ≤ 75% of state MHI, User Charge ≥ 2% of MHI, & ≤ 3,300 people)	
Disadvantaged (must meet all 3 requirements)	(10 points)
Not Disadvantaged	0 points
Qualified Census Tract contains 50% or more of population served by the project	
Yes, 50% or more of the population served is within a Qualified Census Tract (QCT)	(10 points)
No, less than 50% of the population served is in a Qualified Census Tract (QCT)	0 points

System's outstanding debt	
Yes, the applicant's system has outstanding debt for the sewer system	(5 points)
No, the applicant's sewer system has no outstanding debt	0 points
Applicant's local share	graditshiparragakir.s.
Local Share is > 20%	5 points
Local Share is > 10% and ≤ 20%	3 points
Local Share is > 1% and ≤ 10%	2 points
Local Share is \$1 up to > 1%	1 points
PROJECT NEED	
The project is to meet new permit conditions or bring non-compliant infrastructur	e into compliance
The system is under enforcement	10 points
The system is non-compliant but not under enforcement	5 points
The project will not affect a compliance issue	0 points
Proposed improvements likely to directly affect a source of waterbody impairmen	t (TMDL/303d)
Yes, improvements will directly affect source of impairment	(5 points)
No, improvements will not directly affect source of impairment	0 points
Project will replace/improve infrastructure that is past its useful life/significantly of	deteriorated
Yes, project directly affects deteriorated/aged infrastructure	5 points
No, project does not directly affect deteriorated/aged infrastructure	70 points
Engineering Report not really aged, if we are replacing the system that didn't work	
Progress has been made towards finalizing an engineering report or one is not req	uired for project
Engineering report is complete/is not required for this project [10 CSR 20-6.010(4)(E	(5) points
Engineering report is required and is not complete	0 points

we will have to update the report ASAP, but can make it happen

100 points scored out of 125 possible Most communities are scoring less than 60



RESOLUTION OF GOVERNING BODY OF APPLICANT RESOLUTION NO. 6-2022

(Suggested Form for Applicant use)

Resolution authorizing the filing of an application with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Financial Assistance Center's State ARPA Grant Programs for subaward of federal financial assistance provided to the State of Missouri by the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury") pursuant to Section 602(b) of the Social Security Act, as added by Section 9901 of the American Rescue Plan Act, (Pub. L. No. 117-2 (March 11, 2021), 135 Stat. 4, 223-26.

WHEREAS under the terms of section 602(c) of the Act and Treasury's regulations, the State of Missouri has authorized the making of grants to authorized applicants to aid in the completion of specific public projects.

NOW, THERE	CFORE, be it resolved by _	City of Otterville	
,	_	(governing body of applicant)	
L. Inat	eborah Lake (designated official) plication(s) on behalf of	be and he/she is hereby authorized	to execute and
with the s	State of Missouri for grange water, wastewater, and	(legal name of applicant) nt funding to aid in the completion of: a lead service d/or a stormwater project.	
Inventory		as well as rehab our out of compliance waster	water treatment
plant.	(Bri	ef description of project(s)).	
2. That De	borah Lake	Mayor	
	(name of author	ized official) (Title)	
Departm	ent of Natural Resources f of the applicant.	chalf of the applicant, to furnish such assurances to as may be required by law or regulation, and to re	
The undersig	ned, duly qualified and a	cting_ City Clerk	of the
		(title of officer)	
City of Ott		, does hereby certify: That the attache	d resolution is a
	name of applicant)	31	
City of Ott	erville Board of Alde	an adopted at a legally convened meeting of the erman held on the 24th day of June	,2022;
		een fully recorded in the journal of proceedings and, I have hereunto set my hand this 24thday of Ju	
SEAL (If appli	icant has an official seal, im	press here.)	
		City Clerk	ure of recording officer)
		(ti	tle of recording officer)